

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/053,223 01/17/2002 Douglas R. Daum 13569.1	14US01 3:	591
23552 7590 01/12/2005	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903	BOCKELMAN, MARK	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903	UNIT PAPER I	NUMBER
. 370	762	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	11/
	10/053,223	DAUM ET AL.	V''
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Mark W Bockelman	3762	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	p ars on the cover she t with the c	orrespond nc addres	:s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status	*		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 (	October 2004.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	, and the second of the second		rits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-26 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See cition is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to, See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	је .
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152	<b>:)</b>

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I claims 1-11 in the reply filed on 10-27-2004 is acknowledged.

Claims 12-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10-19-2004.

Regrettably, the examiner inadvertently neglected to include the following provisional election of species. The examiner requests to further elected from one of the following species of adaptive-rate and identify the claims that read thereupon for examination in the event that generic claim 1 is not found to be allowable.

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I – using an activity sensor

Species II- using a minute volume sensor

Species III –using a QT interval sensor

Species IV using an intrinsic atrial rate

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272 -4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**MWB** 

January 9, 2005